0957-4166/95 \$9.50+0.00



0957-4166(95)00296-0

CHEMOENZYMATIC SYNTHESIS OF FERRULACTONE II AND (2E)-9-HYDROXYDECENOIC ACID

Archana S. Pawar, Sankaranarayanan S. and Subrata Chattopadhyay*

Bio-Organic Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay - 400 085, India.

Abstract: A novel and divergent synthesis of the title compounds has been developed. The salient features of the synthesis were use of easily accessible starting material, regioselective organometallic reaction and enzymatic derivation of the key synthons.

(+)-Threo alcuritic acid 1, easily accessible from shellac is extensively used by us in the synthesis of several achiral and chiral insect pheromones¹⁻³. Its polyfunctionalities offer wide latitude in synthetic manoeuvers to render it a useful starting material. The α-glycol function present in 1 is especially attractive since its cleavage provides two bifunctional synthons which can be subsequently extended to different classes of bioactive compounds. Based on these, we have formulated a synthetic strategy for (3Z)-dodecen-12-olide I and (2E)-9-hydroxydecenoic acid (HDA) II in their antipodal forms. In this paper, we report the same. Compound I, commonly known as ferrulactone II is one of the major pheromone components of the male rusty grain beetle, Cryptolestes ferrugineus⁴. In addition, one of the notorious stored grain pests of global importance viz. Cryptolestes pusilus⁵ also uses it as the pheromone, 9-HDA, on the other hand constitutes the mandibular gland secretion of queen bees, Apis mellifera L.6.7 and helps in their retinue formation. The dependence of their bioactivities on the stereochemistry is intriguing. For instance, while (S)-I is the pheromone of both rusty and flat grain beetles, its enantiomer is the principal pheromone for the merchant grain beetles⁸. Likewise, (R)-HDA is ten fold more active than its antipode⁹ In view of all these, several chiral syntheses of I¹⁰⁻¹² and II^{13,14} have appeared. However, the present brief and divergent synthesis for both of these from a single commercially available source seems a more practical approach.

Thus, the methyl ester 215 of the acid 1 was subjected to NaIO₄ cleavage to furnish the C₉- and C₇aldehyde derivatives 3 and 43 respectively. The individual components could be separated efficiently by evaporative distillation. Regioselective reaction of MeMgI with the aldehyde function of 3 was accomplished at $^{-10^{\circ}}$ C in diethyl ether to afford the hydroxy ester 5. After its pyranylation, the product 6 was reduced with LAH to give the diol derivative 7 which was subsequently oxidized to the aldehyde 8. Its Wittig-Horner reaction with the bulky ylide 916 furnished the conjugated ester 10 . This, on treatment with potassium hexamethyldisilazide resulted in the isomerization of its (2E)-olefin bond to the required (3Z)-compound 11. Similar olefin migration-isomerization method has been used 16 by us recently for the synthesis of 1,4-dienic macrolide. After its depyranylation to the hydroxy ester 12 , we attempted its direct lactonization using lipase as the catalyst. Amongst the available lipases, only PPL could effect the transformation in benzene albeit in very poor yield (15%). Moreover, the undesired (R)-lactone was obtained with modest ee (65%). The absolute configuration was determined by comparing its sign of specific rotation with those reported 12 . The result was in accordance with one of its earlier synthesis 12 although the lipase used was different.

- i) NaIO4/MeCN/H2O, ii) MeMgI/Et2O/-10°C, iii) DHP/PPTS, iv) LAH/Et2O, v) PCC/CH2Cl2,
- $vi) \ NaH/(EtO)_2P(O)CH_2CO_2CH(Pr^i)_2 \ (9) \ / THF, \ vii) \ KN(SiMe_3)_2/THF/-78^{o}C, \ viii) \ MeOH/PTS,$
- ix) PPL/Benzene, x) TFEB/PPL/Cyclohexane, xi) alc. KOH, xii) Yamaguchi's method.

SCHEME 1

In view of the above, we attempted the resolution of 12 via its PPL catalyzed acylation with trifluoroethyl butyrate (TFEB). In cyclohexane, the (R)-butyrate 13 (68% ee) and (S)-12 (91% ee) was obtained at ~50% conversion. A second acylation of the resolved alcohol (S)-12 enriched its enantiomeric

excess to 98%. Compound (S)-12 was then hydrolyzed with alc. KOH and subsequently lactonized following Yamaguchi's method¹⁷ to furnish (S)-1.

For the synthesis of II, the aldehyde 4 was first pyranylated to compound 14 and then subjected to Wittig-Horner reaction with triethyl phosphonoacetate to give the ester 15. After depyranylation, the product alcohol 16 was oxidized with PCC to afford the aldehyde 17. As in the case of 3, its reaction with MeLi at -40° C proceeded smoothly at the aldehyde site to produce the desired compound 18. This was then resolved with PPL/TFEB in diisopropyl ether to get (R)-19 (84% ee) and (S)-18 (97% ee). The ee of 19 was improved to 95% by enzymatic alcoholysis with n-butanol using PPL as the catalyst. Alkaline hydrolysis of (S)-18 and (R)-19 finally led to (S)- and (R)-II respectively. In all the cases, the ees were determined by 1 H NMR analyses in presence of Eu(hfc)₃.

- i) DHP/PPTS, ii) NaH/(EtO)2P(O)CH2CO2Et/THF, iii) MeOH/PTS, iv) PCC/CH2Cl2,
- v) MeLi/Et₂O/-40°C, vi) TFEB/PPL/Diisopropyl ether, vii) alc. KOH.

SCHEME 2

EXPERIMENTAL

The IR spectra were scanned with a Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer, model 783 and only the pertinent bands are mentioned. The PMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AC-200 (200 MHz) instrument in CDCl₃. The optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-360 polarimeter. Anhydrous reactions were carried out under Ar using freshly distilled solvents.

Methyl 8-Formyloctanoate 3 and 7-Hydroxyheptanal 4: To a cooled solution of 2 (15.0 g, 0.047 mol) in a mixture of CH₃CN-H₂O (3.2, 200 ml) was added NaIO₄ (12.8 g, 0.06 mol) in portions. The mixture was

stirred for 0.5 h and then filtered. The filtrate was extracted with CHCl₃, the extract washed with water and brine and dried. After concentration in vacuo the residue was distilled to furnish pure 3 and 4³.

3: yield: 6.7 g (76%); IR: 2740, 1750, 1720 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.3 (s, 10H), 2.0-2.6 (m, 4H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 9.78 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H).

Methyl 9-Hydroxydecanoate 5: A solution of MeMg1 [prepared from MeI (9.0 g, 0.063 mol) and Mg (1.85 g, 0.077 mol)] in ether (50 ml) was slowly added to a stirred and cooled (-10° C) solution of 3 (9.86 g, 0.053 mol) in ether (50 ml). After 1 h, the mixture was quenched with aq. sat. NH₄Cl solution, the oraganic layer separated and the aq. portion extracted with ether. The combined organic extract was washed with brine and dried. Solvent removal followed by column chromatography (silica gel, 0-20% EtOAc/hexane) of the residue gave pure 5. yield: 8.7 g (81.3%); IR: 3400, 1750, 1450, 1200 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (s, 12H), 2.1-2.4 (m, 2H), 2.8 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 3.5-3.8 (m containing a s at δ 3.54, 4H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{11}H_{22}O_3$: C, 65.31; H, 10.96. Found: C, 65.12; H, 11.04.

Methyl 9-Tetrahydropyranyloxydecanoate 6 A solution of 5 (4.0 g, 0.02 mol), DHP (2.0 g, 0.024 mol) and PPTS (0.1 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 ml) was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. It was quenched with aq. 10% NaHCO₃ solution, the organic layer separated and the aq. layer extracted with CHCl₃. The combined organic extract was washed with water and brine. After drying, it was concentrated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed over silica gel (0-5% EtOAc/hexane) to give 6. yield: 5.15 g (91%); IR: 1760, 930, 880, 810 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 12H), 1.5-1.7 (m, 6H), 2.1-2.3 (m, 2H), 3.2-3.4 (m, 1.5H), 3.5-3.7 (m containing a s at δ 3.54, 4.5H), 4.12 (br. s, 0.5H), 4.53 (br. s, 0.5H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₃₀O₄: C, 67.09; H, 10.56. Found: C, 67.31; H 10.41

9-Tetrahydropyranyloxydecan-1-ol 7 To a stirred suspension of LAH (1.6 g, 0.042 mol) in ether (70 ml) was added 6 (10.0 g, 0.035 mol) in ether (30 ml). After refluxing for 4 h, the mixture was brought to room temperature and treated with aq. saturated Na₂SO₄ solution. The crystalline white solid was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to furnish pure 7 after column chromatography (silica gel, 0-15% EtOAc/hexane). yield: 8.5 g (94.2%); IR: 3460, 1040, 930, 880, 810 cm⁻¹, PMR. δ 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3 (s, 14H), 1.4-1.7 (m, 6H), 2.42 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 3.3-3.5 (m, 2.5H), 3.7-4.0 (m, 2.5H), 4.5 (s, 0.5H), 4.9 (s, 0.5H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₅H₃₀O₃: C, 69 72, H, 11.70 Found C, 69 57; H, 11.64.

9-Tetrahydropyranyloxydecan-1-al 8 To a stirred suspension of PCC (5.0 g, 0.023 mol) and anhydrous NaOAc (0.66 g, 0.8 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (80 ml) was added the alcohol 7 (4.0 g, 0.016 mol) in one lot. Usual isolation provided the aldehyde 8 which was sufficiently pure (cf. TLC) and hence used as such for the next

step. yield: 3.56 g (89.8%); IR: 2720, 1710, 880, 810 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3 (s, 12H), 1.4-1.6 (m, 6H), 2.21 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 3.4-3.6 (m, 1.5H), 3.7-3.9 (m, 1.5H), 4.6 (s, 0.5H), 4.9 (s, 0.5H).

2',4'-Dimethyl-3"-pentyl (2*E*)-11-Tetrahydropyranyloxydodecenoate 10: To a stirred and cooled (0° C) suspension of pentane-washed NaH (0.768 g, 0.016 mol, 50% suspension in oil) in THF (40 ml) was added the phosphonate 9 (4.7 g, 0.016 mol). After 0.5 h, the aldehyde 8 (3.5 g, 0.014 mol) in THF (10 ml) was added to it and the mixture stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The mixture was poured in ice-water, the THF layer separated and the aq. portion extracted with EtOAc. The organic extract was then washed with water and brine and finally dried. Removal of solvent followed by column chromatography over silica gel (0-10% EtOAc/hexane) afforded the ester 10. yield: 3.93 g (71%); 1R: 1720, 1660, 980, 910, 880 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 0.88 (d, J = 6 Hz, 12H), 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3-1.6 (m, 12H), 1.7-1.9 (m, 8H), 2.1-2.4 (m, 2H), 3.3-3.5 (m, 1.5H), 3.6-3.9 (m, 1.5H), 4.52 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 4.6 (s, 1H), 5.78 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.4 Hz, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{24}H_{44}O_{4}$: $C_{12}C_{12}C_{12}C_{12}C_{13}C_{14}$

2',4'-Dimethyl-3"-pentyl (*3Z*)-11-Tetrahydropyranyloxydodecenoate 11: Following the reported 16 procedure, compound 10 (2.0 g, 0.005 mol) was isomerized with KN(SiMe₃)₂ [prepared from K (0.39 g, 0.01 mol), naphthalene (1.28 g, 0.01 mol) and HMDS (1.68 g, 0.01 mol)] in THF (30 ml) at -78° C. The product was purified by careful column chromatography over silica gel (0-10% ether/hexane). yield: 1.45 g (72.5%); IR: 1750, 1410, 1400, 910, 880 cm⁻¹, PMR: δ 0.9 (br. s, 12H), 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.4-1.6 (m, 10H), 1.8-2.0 (m, 8H), 2.1-2.2 (m, 2H), 3.2 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.6-3.9 (m, 3H), 4.6 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 4.7 (s, 0.5H), 4.8 (s, 0.5H), 5.4-5.6 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{24}H_{44}O_4$: C, 72.68; H, 11.18. Found: C, 72.44; H, 11.42.

2',4'-Dimethyl-3"-pentyl (3Z)-11-Hydroxydodecenoate 12: A mixture of **11** (1.4 g, 3.5 mmol) and PTS (0.1 g) in MeOH (20 ml) was refluxed for 4 h. Most of the solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue taken in EtOAc and the solution washed with aq. 10% NaHCO₃, water and brine and dried. Removal of solvent followed by column chromatography (silica gel, 0-15% EtOAc/hexane) gave pure **12**. yield: 0.9 g (81.8%); IR: 3460, 3020, 1750, 1060 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 0.9 (br. s, 12H), 1.1 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3-1.7 (m, 10H), 1.8-2.0 (m, 2H), 2.1-2.2 (m, 2H), 2.71 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 3.2 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.6-3.9 (m, 1H), 4.6 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 5.4-5.6 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₉H₃₆O₃: C, 73.03; H, 11.61. Found: C, 72.88; H, 11.78.

(R)-Ferrulactone I: A mixture of 12 (0.5 g, 1.6 mmol) and PPL (0.5 g, Sigma. 53.2 units/mg) in benzene (50 ml) was stirred at room temperature for 72 h. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the product isolated by preparative TLC (silica gel, 20% EtOAc/hexane). yield: 0.047 g (15%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ -68.1 (c 0.7, CHCl₃) (lit¹². $[\alpha]^{20}$ -82.29 (c 0.8i, CHCl₃)); IR: 3020, 1725, 1660 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.0 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3-1.7 (m, 10H),

2.0-2.4 (m, 2H), 2.9-3.1 (m, 2H), 4.5-4.6 (m, 1H), 5.3-5.6 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₀O₂: C, 73.43; H, 10.27. Found: C, 73.31; H, 10.18.

(R)-2',4'-Dimethyl-3"-pentyl (3Z)-11-Butyroxydodecenoate 13: A mixture of 12 (1.0 g, 3.2 mmol), TFEB (1.1 g, 6.4 mmol) and PPL (1.0 g, Sigma. 53.2 units/mg) in cyclohexane (20 ml) was stirred at room temperature. After 50% conversion, the enzyme was removed by filtration, the filtrate concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 0-15% EtOAc/hexane).

13: yield: 0.585 g (48%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ -4.8 (c 0.7, CHCl₃); IR: 3020, 1750, 1260 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 0.9 (s, 15H), 1.0 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.3-1.7 (m, 12H), 1.8-2.0 (m, 2H), 2.1-2.2 (m, 2H), 2.5 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.2 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 4.1-4.3 (m, 1H), 4.6 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 5.4-5.6 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{23}H_{42}O_4$: C, 72.20; H, 11.07. Found: C, 72.12; H, 11.21.

12: yield: 0.437 g (43.7%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ +5.3 (c 1.22, CHCl₃). All other spectral data were identical with those of the racemic sample.

(S)-Ferrulactone 1: A solution of (S)-12 (0.45 g, 1.44 mmol) in alc. KOH (10 ml, 2N) was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, EtOAc was added followed by dil. aq. HCl (2N) till acidic. The EtOAc extract was washed with water and brine. After drying, it was concentrated to give the corresponding acid. yield: 0.300 g (98%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ +6.45 (c 1.42, CHCl₃) (lit¹². $[\alpha]^{22}$ +5.8 (c 0.52, CHCl₃)); IR: 3500-3200, 1710, 1060 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.2 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (s, 10H), 1.9-2.2 (m, 2H), 3.12 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.5-4.0 (m, 1H), 5.4-5.7 (m, 2H), 8.16 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H).

A mixture of above compound (39 mg, 0.18 mmol), TEA (34 ul) and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (50 mg) in THF (15 ml) was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The solution was filtered under Ar, the filtrate diluted to 100 ml with toluene and introduced into a refluxing solution of DMAP (150 mg) in toluene (20 ml) over a period of 3 h. After refluxing for an additional period of 3 h, it was brought to room temperature, washed with aq. 10% NaHCO₃, water and brine and dried. Solvent removal followed by preparative TLC gave pure (S)-I. yield: 21.8 mg (61%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ +82.3 (c 1.14, CHCl₃), (lit¹² $[\alpha]^{21}$ +73.9 (c 0.47, CHCl₃)). Its spectral data were identical with those of its antipode.

7-Tetrahydropyranyloxyheptanal 14 : Pyranylation of 4 (1.68 g, 0.013 mol) with DHP (1.2 g, 0.014 mol) in CH_2Cl_2 gave 14. yield: 2.48 g (89.5%); IR: 2700, 1730, 910, 870, 810 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.3-1.7 (m, 14H), 2.0-2.2 (m, 2H), 3.5-3.7 (m, 4H), 4.51 (s, 1H), 9.78 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H).

Ethyl (2E)-9-Tetrahydropyranyloxynonenoate 15: Wittig-Horner reaction between triethyl phosphonoacetate (2.84 g, 0.013 mol) and 14 (2.47 g, 0.012 mol) using NaH (0.672 g, 0.014 mol, 50%

suspension in oil) gave 15 after usual isolation. yield: 2.62 g (80%); IR: 1720, 1640, 980, 910, 870, 810 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.2 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.5 (br. s, 8H), 1.7 (br. s, 6H), 2.0-2.3 (m, 2H), 3.5-3.8 (m, 4H), 4.2 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (s, 1H), 5.88 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{28}O_4$: C, 67.57; H, 9.92. Found: C, 67.42; H, 10.12.

Ethyl (2*E*)-9-Hydroxynonenoate 16: Depyranylation of 15 (1.6 g, 5.6 mmol) with MeOH (10 ml) and PTS (0.1 g) gave the hydroxy ester 16. yield: 0.81 g (72%); IR: 3360, 1730, 1640, 980 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.2 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 1.5 (br. s, 8H), 2.0-2.3 (m, 2H), 2.4 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 3.68 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁H₂₀O₃: C, 65.97; H, 10.07. Found: C, 65.81; H, 10.22.

Ethyl (2*E*)-9-Formyloctenoate 17: The above alcohol (0.528 g, 2.6 mmol) was oxidized with PCC (0.85 g, 3.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 ml) to furnish 17 after usual work-up. yield: 0.412 g (80%); IR: 2700, 1740, 1720, 1640, 980 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.2 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3H), 1.4-1.7 (m, 6H), 1.9-2.3 (m, 4H), 4.1 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 9.78 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H).

Ethyl (2*E*)-9-Hydroxydecenoate 18: To a stirred and cooled (-40° C) solution of 17 (1.0 g, 5.0 mmol) in ether (30 ml) was slowly added MeLi [prepared from Li (0.98 g, 14.0 mmol) and MeI (0.86 g, 6.0 mmol) in ether (20 ml)]. After 1 h, the mixture was treated with aq. saturated NH₄Cl, the ether layer separated and the aqueous portion extracted with EtOAc. The entire organic extract was washed with brine and dried. Solvent removal in vacuo followed by column chromatography (silca gel, 0-15% EtOAc) of the residue gave pure 18. yield: 0.734 g (68%); IR: 3360, 1740, 1640, 980 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.1-1.3 (m, 6H), 1.5 (br. s, 8H), 1.8 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 2.2-2.4 (m, 2H), 3.7-3.9 (m, 1H), 4.2 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₂O₃: C, 67.25; H, 10.35. Found: C, 67.18; H, 10.14.

Ethyl (9R,2E)-9-Butyroxydecanoate 19 A mixture of compound 18 (0.7 g, 3.3 mmol), TFEB (1.11 g, 6.6 mmol) and PPL (1.0 g) in diisopropyl ether (20 ml) was stirred for 48 h. The reaction products were isolated in pure forms as was done for compound 12.

19: yield: 0.440 g (47.4%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ -4.1 (c 1.88, CHCl₃); IR: 1740, 1640, 980 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 0.9 (dist. t, 3H), 1.2-1.3 (m, 6H), 1.4 (br. s, 10H), 2.1-2.3 (m, 2H), 2.48 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.7-3.9 (m, 1H), 4.2 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{28}O_4$: C, 67.57; H, 9.92. Found: C, 67.48; H, 9.78.

18: yield: 0.298 g (42.6%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ +6.1 (c 2.02, CHCl₃). All other spectral data were identical with those of the racemic sample.

(9R,2E)-9-Hydroxydecenoic acid II: Alkaline hydrolysis of 19 (0.284 g, 1.0 mmol) gave (R)-II after usual isolation. yield: 0.163 g (88%); $[\alpha]^{22}$ -8.3 (c 2.19, CH₃OH), (lit¹³. $[\alpha]^{20.5}$ -7.95 (c 16.48, CH₃OH)); IR: 3700 -3440, 1710, 1640, 980 cm⁻¹; PMR: δ 1.12 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (br. s, 8H), 2.1-2.2 (m, 2H), 2.44 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H), 3.7-3.9 (m, 1H), 5.88 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dt, J = 16 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (s, D₂O exchangeable, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀H₁₈O₃: C, 64.49; H 9.74. Found: C, 69.27; H, 9.96.

(9S,2E)-9-Hydroxydecenoic acid II: Compound (S)-II was prepared as above, which showed identical spectral properties. $[\alpha]^{22}$ -8.5 (c. 1.47, CH₃OH), (lit¹³. $[\alpha]^{20.5}$ +8.51 (c. 12.92, CH₃OH)).

REFERENCES

- 1. Chattopadhyay, S.; Mamdapur, V. R.; Chadha, M. S. Chem. Ind. (Lon.)., 1984, 554.
- 2. Chattopadhyay, S.; Mamdapur, V. R.; Chadha, M. S. Synth. Commun., 1990, 20, 825.
- 3. Joshi, N. N.; Mamdapur, V. R.; Chadha, M. S. Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 3285.
- Wong, J. W.; Verigin, V.; Oehlschlager, A. C.; Borden, J. H.; Pierce Jr., H. D.; Pierce, A. M.; Chong, L. J. Chem. Ecol., 1983, 9, 451.
- Miller, J. G.; Pierce Jr., H. D.; Pierce, A. M.; Oehlschlager, A. C.; Borden, J. H.; Barak, A. V. J. Chem. Ecol., 1985, 11, 1053.
- 6. Gray, N. E. Science, 1961, 133, 1479.
- 7. Butler, C. G., Callow, R. K., Koster, C. G., Simpson, J. J. J. Apic Res., 1973, 12, 159.
- Oehlschlager, A. C.; King, G. S.; Pierce Jr., H. D., Pierce, A. M.; Slessor, K. N.; Miller, J. G.; Borden, J. H. J. Chem. Ecol., 1987, 13, 1543.
- 9. Winston, M. L.; Slessor, K. N.; Smirle, L. G.; Kandil, D. A. J. Chem. Ecol., 1982, 8, 1283.
- 10. Oehlschlager, A. C.; Wong, J. W.; Verigin, V. G.; Pierce Jr., H. D. J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 5009.
- 11. Keinan, E., Sinha, S. C., Singh, S. P. Tetrahedron, 1992, 47, 4631.
- 12. Mori, K.; Tomioka, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1992, 1011.
- 13. Morgan, B., Oehlschlager, A. C., Stokes, T. M. Tetrahedron, 1991, 47, 1611.
- 14. Jian-Xing Gu; Zu-Yi Li; Guo-Quiang Lin Tetrahedron Asymm., 1992, 3, 1523.
- 15. Ames, D. E.; Goodburn, T. G.; Jevans, A. W.; McGhie, J. F. J. Chem Soc., 1968, 268.
- 16. Pawar, A. S.; Chattopadhyay, S.; Chattopadhyay, A.; Mamdapur, V. R. J. Org. Chem., 1994, 58, 7559.
- 17. Inanaga, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, K.; Yamaguchi, M. Bull Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 1989.